



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/580,744

02/16/2007

Helga Rothe

3710

6447

7590

07/07/2009

Michael J Striker
STRIKER STRIKER & STENBY
103 East Neck Road
Huntington, NY 11743

EXAMINER

BUNNER, BRIDGET E

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1647

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/07/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/580,744	Applicant(s) ROTHE ET AL.	
	Examiner Bridget E. Bunner	Art Unit 1647	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 May 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 26 May 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/16/07</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Application, Amendments and/or Claims

The amendments of 26 May 2006 and 15 May 2008 have been entered in full. Claims 3-5 are amended.

Claims 1-5 are under consideration in the instant application.

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

2. The drawing is objected to because it is difficult to discern the differences between the two dyed samples in Figure 1. Although the specification at page 10, lines 23-25 discloses that the sample preparation in the left panel of the figure was pretreated with the peptide solution (and hence, should have less dye on the sample), this result is not clear. In fact, the sample in the right panel appears to the Examiner to have less dye. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement

Art Unit: 1647

sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either “Replacement Sheet” or “New Sheet” pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant’s use. Regarding the instant specification, the Examiner directs Applicant to parts (f), (g), (h), and (i) below.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase “Not Applicable” should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).

Art Unit: 1647

- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A “Sequence Listing” is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required “Sequence Listing” is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 2-4 are objected to because of the following informalities:
5. In claims 2-4, line 1, the phrase "characterized in that" should be amended to recite “wherein”.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

6. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd. v. Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, second paragraph

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 1647

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

7. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

8. Claims 1-5 provide for the use of a short-chain peptide, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

9. Claims 2 and 3 are indefinite because the elements recited in the claim do not constitute proper Markush groups. See MPEP § 2173.05(h).

10. A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 4 recites the broad recitation

Art Unit: 1647

“between 2 and 30 amino acids”, and the claim also recites “preferably between 6 and 15 amino acids and particularly between 6 and 12 amino acids” which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, first paragraph

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

11. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a method of protecting skin from hair treatment agents comprising topically administering the peptide of SEQ ID NO: 48 to skin prior to the administration of hair treatment agents to protect the skin from the hair treatment agents, does not reasonably provide enablement for (1) use of a preparation containing a short-chain peptide for protecting skin from hair treatment agents or (2) use of a preparation containing a short-chain peptide for simultaneously protecting the skin from hair treatment agents and providing skin care. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Claim 1 is directed to the use of a preparation containing a short-chain peptide for protecting skin from hair-treatment agents. Claim 4 recites that the short-chain peptide has a chain-length between 2 and 30 amino acids, preferably between 6 and 15 amino acids and particularly between 6 and 12 amino acids. Claim 5 recites the method simultaneously protects the skin from hair-treatment agents and providing skin care.

Art Unit: 1647

The specification of the instant application teaches that the goal of the instant invention "was to provide preparations intended to prevent skin contact of cosmetic agents, particularly hair colorants, and which would not present the drawbacks of conventional preparations" (page 1, lines 22-24). The specification discloses the preparation of an aqueous solution of a peptide having the sequence LITASFTQSLPRKSG (SEQ ID NO: 48) (page 11, lines 25-26). The specification teaches that the peptide solution is applied to a skin specimen, allowed to dry, washed/dried, and then the entire surface of the skin specimen is treated with a dye solution (page 11, lines 28-33 through page 12, lines 1-4). A control skin specimen that is not treated with the peptide solution is also prepared (page 12, lines 4-6). The specification teaches that skin preparations treated with the peptide showed only very minor staining compared to the untreated skin specimens (page 12, lines 10-11). However, the specification is silent as to the definition of "skin care" (see claim 5) and the Examiner has broadly interpreted this term as encompassing care for such skin conditions as dry skin, acne, psoriasis, eczema, rosacea, burns, wounds, insect bites, just to name a few. There are no methods or working examples that indicate all possible short-chain peptides, including the peptide of SEQ ID NO: 48, provide any type skin care, other than to protect skin from hair treatment agents. Undue experimentation would be required by the skilled artisan to determine such.

Additionally, the specification of the instant application does not teach any methods or working examples that indicate all possible short-chain peptides, other than the peptide of SEQ ID NO: 48, protect skin from hair treatment agents. It is well-known in the proteomic art the peptides have different structures and functions. There is little guidance in the specification indicating which structural features (amino acid sequences or domains/motifs) are required of the

Art Unit: 1647

peptide for functional activity. Hence, a large quantity of experimentation would be required by the skilled artisan to generate and screen the infinite number of short-chain peptides encompassed by the instant claims for an activity. According to MPEP § 2164.06, “the guidance and ease in carrying out an assay to achieve the claimed objectives may be an issue to be considered in determining the quantity of experimentation needed. For example, if a very difficult and time consuming assay is needed to identify a compound within the scope of the claim, then this great quantity of experimentation should be considered in the overall analysis”. Furthermore, one skilled in the art would not be able to predict the activity of all possible short-chain peptides, particularly for protecting skin from hair treatment agents and for providing skin care.

Due to the large quantity of experimentation necessary to generate the infinite number of short-chain peptides recited in the claims and to screen same for activity; the lack of direction/guidance presented in the specification regarding which structural features are required in order to provide activity; the absence of working examples directed to same; the complex nature of the invention; and the breadth of the claims which fail to recite any structural or functional limitations for the short-chain peptides, undue experimentation would be required of the skilled artisan to make and/or use the claimed invention in its full scope.

Conclusion

No claims are allowable.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Janssen et al. (US20060171885; peptides that bind either skin or hair)

Dreher et al. (U.S. Patent 7,189,267; composition that comprises an oxyethylenated copolymer that limits dyes from penetrating into the skin)

Rothe et al. (U.S. Patent 7,341,604; performing cosmetic treatment on parts of human or animal containing keratin)

Greff, D. FR 2735022-A1 (peptides rich in glutamine that protect the skin from the aggression of the environment)

Fukuyama et al. (JP 561 15707; 1981; small peptide has a skin-protecting effect)

Fukuyama et al. (JP 561 15708; 1981; small peptide produced by condensation of amino acids that has skin-protecting effect)

Art Unit: 1647

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bridget E. Bunner whose telephone number is (571) 272-0881. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Manjunath Rao can be reached on (571) 272-0939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BEB
Art Unit 1647
29 June 2009

/Bridget E Bunner/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1647